APPLICATION TO VARY A PREMISES LICENCE

Committee	Licensing Committee (South)			
Officer Contact	Ian Meens, Licensing Officer	01895 277067		
Papers with report	 Appendix 1 - copy of variation application form Appendix 2 - copy of emails of objection from Ward Councillors Appendix 3 - copy of representation from PC Ian Wares Appendix 4 - copy of representation from Environmental Protectunit Appendix 5 - copy of representations from local residents x11 Appendix 6 - copy of premises licence Appendix 7 - plan of the area Appendix 8 - plan of premises Appendix 9 - list of responsible authorities Appendix 10 - general information photos and plans 			
Ward(s) affected	Uxbridge South			

SUMMARY

To consider a representation from the Metropolitan Police, Ward Councillors and local residents in respect of an application to vary a premises licence for The Three Steps at the Coachmans Inn High Street Cowley UB8 2DX.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Licensing Sub Committee determine the application.

INFORMATION

1. An application to vary the premises licence for The Three Steps at the Coachmans was received on 11th July 2014 from Fortis Rose Solicitors, Edmonton London on behalf of Mr Erhan Sahin, the premises licence holder.

Details of the application are as follows:

To extend the sale of alcohol, the provision of regulated entertainment live music, recorded music and late night refreshment as follows

Recorded music	Sunday to Wednesday Thursday to Saturday	
Live music	Monday to Sunday	from 11.00 to 01.00
Sale of Alcohol	Sunday to Wednesday Thursday to Saturday	

Late night refreshmentSunday to Wednesdayfrom 23.00 to 02.00Thursday to Saturdayfrom 23.00 to 03.30

To extend the hours the premises are open to the public

Sunday to Wednesday from 07.00 to 02.30 Thursday to Saturday from 07.00 to 04.00

To remove a condition under Annex 2 of the current licence namely 'members of the public shall not be permitted to use the patio area at the premises after 23.00 hrs.

A copy of the application form is attached to this report as **Appendix 1**.

2. The application was advertised (local paper) in accordance with the standard procedures required by the Licensing Act 2003. Following the advertisement of the application, three representations were received from Cllr Judith Cooper, Cllr Tony Burles and Cllr Keith Burrows.

Copies of these representations are attached to this report as Appendix 2.

- 3. In accordance with the legislation, the applicant is required to send copies of the application to the responsible authorities being:
 - The Metropolitan Police Service
 - London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority
 - Child Protection Service, LBH
 - Food, Health & Safety, LBH
 - Environmental Protection Unit, LBH
 - Trading Standards Service, LBH
 - Planning Service, LBH
 - Public Health, LBH

A representation was received from the Metropolitan Police Service. A copy of the representation is attached to this report as **Appendix 3**.

A representation was received from the Environmental Protection Unit a copy is attached at **Appendix 4**

- 4. The period for consultation was originally set at a period of 28 days following the day of application. Following a visit to the premises, it was noted that the legal notice posters required to be displayed at the premises were not displayed. Following advice, the posters were placed on the building and the date for representations put back 13 days to the 21st August to allow the proper time for display and public comment and the making of representations in respect of the application.
- 5. Following the consultation period the licensing service received 11 letters of representation from local residents which are attached at **Appendix 5**

6. General Information

The premises is a detached public house and has been operated by the applicant since December 2012. A copy of the current premises licence is annexed to this report as **Appendix 6**.

The steps intended to promote the four licensing objectives are described in the operating schedule of the application.

List of Representations

	Prevention of Crime & Disorder	Public Safety	Prevention of Public Nuisance	Protection of Children from Harm
Metropolitan Police Service	x		x	
Jo Smith Environmental Protection			X	
Cllr Judith Cooper	x		x	
Cllr Tony Burles			x	
Cllr Keith Burrows	x		x	
Mr & Mrs Varley	x		x	
Mr & Mrs Popham	x		x	
Mr and Mrs Phillips			x	
Mr M Newton			x	
Mr & Mrs Noyce			x	
C Robinson c/o Wharf Court residents			x	
Mr N Janes			x	
Mrs D Evans	x		X	
Mr and Mrs Kennedy	x		X	
Mr J Davies & Mrs D Tagg-Davis			X	
S Munday-Webb	X		X	

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Principles for making the determination

The general principle is that applications for Premises Licence applications must be granted unless relevant representations are received. This is subject to the proviso that the applicant has complied with regulations in advertising and submitting the application.

Relevant representations are those which:-

- Are about the effect of the granting of the application on the promotion of the licensing objectives.
- Are made by a Responsible Authority or other persons.
- Have not been withdrawn.
- Are not, in the opinion of the relevant Licensing Authority, frivolous or vexatious.

The four licensing objectives are:

Prevention of crime and disorder; Public safety; Prevention of nuisance; and Protection of children from harm.

Members should note that each objective is of equal importance. There are no other licensing objectives, and the four objectives are paramount considerations at all times.

The Licensing Sub-Committee must also consider the London Borough of Hillingdon's Statement of Licensing Policy when deciding whether or not to grant the application.

The terms of the Statement of Licensing Policy are highly persuasive, but not binding, on the Licensing Sub-Committee. The Licensing Sub-Committee may depart from the guidance contained in the Statement of Licensing Policy if it considers there are clear and convincing reasons to do so.

Where there is a conflict between the Licensing Act 2003 and the Statement of Licensing Policy, the Licensing Act 2003 must prevail.

Members are required to have regard to the Home Office Guidance issued under section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 in carrying out the functions of licensing authority. However, guidance does not cover every possible situation, so long as the guidance has been properly and carefully understood, members may depart from it if they have reason to do so. Full reasons must be given if this is the case

When relevant representations are received then the Sub-Committee must have regard to them. Representations based on commercial reasons or need are not in themselves relevant representations. For example, a representation from a bar owner that the grant of a premises licence to another bar will take customers away from his/her premises is not a relevant representation, nor is a representation from other persons that another off licence in the parade of local shops is not needed.

The Licensing Sub-Committee can attach a "weight" to any relevant representations, such factors that could influence the "weight" to be placed on a representation could include:-

- Whether the representation can be clearly related to any one of the four licensing objectives;
- Whether the representation concerns matters over which the applicant is able to exercise control;
- Whether the representation is based on "hearsay" evidence;
- Whether the representation is supported by firm evidence;
- Whether the person making the representation has attended the hearing in person.

Having considered all relevant representations, and having taken into account the promotion of the licensing objectives, a decision can be taken:-

- To grant the licence, subject to the mandatory conditions and conditions consistent with the operating schedule;
- To impose additional relevant conditions to such an extent as is considered necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives;
- To exclude any of the licensable activities to which the application relates
- To amend the times for all or some of the licensable activities;
- To refuse to specify a person on the licence as the designated premises supervisor
- To reject the application

Conditions

Conditions will not be necessary if they duplicate a current statutory requirement.

Members are also referred to the Home Office guidance on conditions, specifically section 10 which states that licensing conditions should be tailored to the size, type, location and characteristics and activities taking place at the premises. Conditions should be determined on a case by case basis and standardised conditions which ignore these individual aspects should be avoided. Licensing authorities and other responsible authorities should be alive to the indirect costs that can arise because of conditions.

Licensing authorities should therefore ensure that any conditions they impose are only those which are appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives.

Reasons

If the Sub-Committee determines that it is necessary to modify the conditions, or to refuse the application for a Premises Licence application, it must give reasons for its decision.

The Role of the Licensing Sub-Committee

Sub-Committee members will note that, in relation to this application, the Council has multiple roles. Council officers from various departments have been asked to consider the application from the perspective of the Council as an authority responsible respectively for environmental health, trading standards, health and safety, safeguarding children, public health and as the planning authority.

Members should note that the Licensing Sub-Committee is meeting on this occasion solely to **perform the role of licensing authority**. The Sub-Committee sits in quasi-judicial capacity, and must act impartially. It must offer a fair and unbiased hearing of the application. In this case, Members should disregard the Council's broader policy objectives and role as statutory authority in other contexts. Members must direct themselves to making a determination solely based upon the Licensing Law, Guidance and the Council's Statement of Licensing Policy.

As a quasi-judicial body the Licensing Sub-Committee is required to consider the application on its merits. The Sub-Committee must take into account only relevant factors, and ignore irrelevant factors. The decision must be based on evidence, that is to say material, which tends logically to show the existence or non-existence of relevant facts, the occurrence of which would be relevant.

The Licensing Sub-Committee must give fair consideration to the contentions of all persons entitled to make representations to them.

The Licensing Sub-Committee is entitled to consider events outside of the premises if they are relevant, i.e. are properly attributable to the premises being open. The proprietors do not have to be personally responsible for the incidents for the same to be relevant. However, if such events are not properly attributable to the premises being open, then the evidence is not relevant and should be excluded. Guidance is that the licensing authority will primarily focus on the direct impact of the activities taking place at the licensed premises on members of the public, living, working or engaged in normal activity in the area concerned.

The Sub-Committee can only consider matters within the application that have been raised through representations from Responsible Authorities and other persons. This will be decided on a case to case basis.

Under the Human Rights Act 1998, the Sub Committee needs to consider the balance between the rights of the applicant and those making representations to the application when making their decision. The Sub-Committee has a duty under section 17 Crime and Disorder Act 1998 when making its decision to do all it can to prevent crime and disorder in the Borough.

Responsible Authorities, other persons making representations and the applicant have the right to appeal the decision of the Sub-Committee to the Magistrates' Court within a period of 21 days beginning with the day on which the applicant was notified by the licensing authority of the decision to be appealed against.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Members should be aware that the Residents Services directorate does not have a budget provision for costs, should the applicant be successful in appealing to the Magistrates Court against a decision of the Council. In the event that a Court was to uphold an appeal, officers would need to identify how the costs would be funded before action could be taken in order to comply with Council financial policy.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:

The Licensing Act 2003 Guidance under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 The Council's Statement of Licensing Policy